

The DEFENDER - October 2018



“... defending the faith once delivered unto the saints” (Jude 3)

A monthly publication of the
IN DEFENSE OF TRUTH radio broadcast.



FROM THE DIRECTOR'S DESK: Guilty by Accusation

Our American Constitution, a truly unique document, is under attack. And if it falls, our very way of life will be compromised. Our nation is crumbling before our eyes. With the verbal attacks on Supreme Court nominee Brett Kavanaugh, due process and the presumption of innocence are no longer a guarantee. Daniel Webster, in 1802, said that “...if the American Constitution should fail, there will be anarchy throughout the world.” Now more than 200 years later, I don't see any reason to disagree with that statement. James Wilson, a signer of the Constitution as well as the Declaration of Independence, and appointed to the Supreme Court by President George Washington, said “After a period of 6,000 years has elapsed since the Creation, the United States exhibit to the world the first instance...of a nation...assembling voluntarily... and deciding calmly concerning that system of government under which they would wish that they and their posterity should live.” As a nation, we are unique, which I believe has had everything to do with God's hand of blessing upon us. But perhaps payment is finally coming due on our national sins of abortion on demand and same-sex marriage, among others.

It's hard to argue that anarchy hasn't already come to America in the form of people ascribing guilt to others by accusation alone. And that is naturally followed by the accused being told to produce the evidence necessary to prove his innocence. We've gone from being a Constitutional Republic to a Banana Republic. We don't need any more illegal immigrants to make us into a Third World nation. We just need to let the socialist progressives run roughshod over us. CNN commentator Chris Cuomo recently said that due process and the presumption of innocence are only viable in a court of law and not in the court of public opinion. Where is the outcry and backlash from conservative Americans on this?

In the late 19th century liberals began taking liberties with the Word of God in earnest. Seminaries and churches were infiltrated by them. The Bible was distorted, perverted, and misinterpreted to the point that much of it is now fake news. The true church today has become invisible, as most people who call themselves Christian are really ecumenists. If liberals will do that to God's Holy Word, they'll do it to anything (see revisionist history).

Our country is as divided today as it was in 1860. In many places mob violence has already been reported. During our nation's first two centuries many were found dancing at the end of a rope due to this kind of 'justice.' What awaits innocent people today? It was about 2,000 years ago when another innocent man was 'tried' in the court of public opinion and accused of being 'guilty.' Isn't it a bit ironic that His death brought life to so many? And isn't it a shame that the Left in America today are so self-righteous that they can't see that? Justice *will* be done. Either on the back of the Savior or on the back of the sinner. Are you listening, America?

Rev. David Lewis

Defending Biblical Creation Part III – Foundations of the Ultimate Proof

By Robert Luthardt, Sr.

“Answer not a fool according to his folly, lest thou be like unto him; answer a fool according to his folly, lest he be wise in his own conceit” (Prov. 26:4-5).

“The fool has said in his heart, there is no God” (Ps. 14:1, 53:1a).

“Be ready always to give an answer to every man that asketh you a reason of the hope that is in you with meekness and fear” (1 Pet. 3:15).

The Proverb quoted above cautions us not to answer an unbeliever from his foundationless assertions; we simply refuse from the outset to accept his standards for discussion or debate. We immediately establish the basis of the “hope” that is in us. Satan set his standard with Adam and Eve – and our first parents fell and we with them. Wonderfully, when Satan tried the same with our Lord Jesus Christ in the wilderness, our Savior immediately resisted the Devil’s folly and defeated him (James 4:7). The Lord exemplified the “No Answer, Answer” method described in Proverbs 26 above.

Other wonderful tools to expose folly were portrayed by Dr. Lisle in his remarkable book, “The Ultimate Proof of Creation,” such as “The Great Debate” between Dr. Greg Bahnsen (theist) and Dr. Gordan Stein (atheist). In this debate, Dr. Bahnsen set strict standards upon which he would argue and though Dr. Stein tried to lure Dr. Bahnsen to his atheistic folly, Dr. Bahnsen masterfully resisted throughout and ultimately won the debate.

Dr. Bahnsen initially insisted that he would only defend Christianity and no other theistic belief to avoid logical inconsistencies (example: Judaism and Islam deny the Trinity, etc.). Dr. Stein tried to circumvent this but failed as Bahnsen held firm forcing Stein to live with his “folly” of denying God and creation.

Dr. Bahnsen repeatedly pointed to the Laws of Logic that Dr. Stein utilized (although with tautologies or circular reasonings) as making no sense in an atheistic universe begun in chaos (The Big Bang) and having no law-giver to establish the universal, invariant, abstract, Laws of Logic and also the Laws of Science and the Laws of Morality. Mankind only exhibits an ability to be rational and logical at all because God has created all of mankind in His image (Gen. 1:26-27; 1 Cor. 11:7).

Not only evolutionists but “Old Age Creationists” also reject Genesis accounts of special creation in 6 literal 24-hour days. This destructive criticism of God’s Word has caused many to stumble and is a sin against Christ (Rom. 14:12-13; 1 Cor. 8:12) and an indictment of God for His alleged inability to make and keep the cogency of scripture. Some liberals assert the first eleven chapters of Genesis are mythological and they, thereby, annul the most basic tenets of Christianity by their “Higher Critical” Analogies.”

God being Spirit (John 4:24) is immaterial (not composed of matter), is infinite and sovereign. It makes sense that the Creator's thinking would be the basis of the Laws of Logic which are also immaterial entities and are universal, invariant, abstract and transcendental. Man reflects God's thinking as the moon reflects the sun.

God made the universe and made man with the ability to study the created order. Evolutionists can be rational but have no supporting basis for it in their undesigned, unguided view of origins. They can be moral but only if they first borrow the Judeo-Christian worldview that actually has a law-giver. Evolutionists can do science but yet deny the God who made it possible. The Biblical Creationist knows why there is order in the universe (Gen. 1:1; John 1:1-3) and knows it will continue (Heb. 1:1-3) for God is consistent (Heb. 13:5) and omnipresent (Matt. 18:20; Acts 17:27) and beyond time (2 Pet. 3:8) assuring our future (John 14:1-3; Col. 3:4).

Evolutionists can only use logic and do science because they are willing to be inconsistent (like the man who denies the existence of air is still obliged to breathe it). They accept uniformity in nature yet deny He who established it. They deny a designer but are very willing to depend upon design. God's maintenance of His created order they claim is simply so because it has always been so. Yet uniformity or any other feature of God's created order is not justified by simply assuming it. Dr. Stein (atheist) argued that it is the nature of matter that it "behaves regularly" so uniformity is simply an inherent property of the universe. This does not explain how or why it is so in anyone's worldview. How can an evolutionist know or prove that uniformity always was and always will be in a universe where "nothing caused something to explode into designed complexity and order that is unguided and random" in its functions and following various laws yet without a law-giver? How could there be any certainty in an ever-evolving universe – ever-changing and mutating – that the observable uniformity and design will continue?

Perhaps Dr. Stein could borrow Steven Gould's imaginative concept of "punctuated equilibrium" (big words do not automatically equal truth and rationality) which Gould postulated to cover the embarrassing lack of transitional forms in the fossil record so the fiction of one species mutating to a totally different one could be resuscitated. Gould opined that an offspring could suddenly appear as a completely different species from its parents (but missing links are still missing and always will be).

Presuppositions are fine with which to begin scientific research but still must be supported by valid evidence eventually. Both creationists and evolutionists must use God's laws, but the evolutionist must then be inconsistent with their own worldview. With the illumination of the Laws of Logic, Science and Morality, we can discern that the mindless randomness and instability in evolution's "Big Bang" beginning (even when pushed out "billions and billions" of years) still cannot account for the uniformity and design in those laws. The Creationist worldview has answers based on the utterances of the designing Creator recorded in the world's oldest most reliable history book – the Bible. Simply put, God has revealed Himself through His Word and His work that He is the one true and LIVING God (Rom. 1:20-22).

No one is allowed to arbitrarily insist upon a truth claim that has no factual foundation. The Christian scientist has a good, valid reason to believe he meets the preconditions of intelligibility because his worldview is consistent with the scientific laws in God's created order and especially the Laws of Logic that reflect His thinking. The Laws of Logic are invariant, universal and abstract and as God is an immaterial transcendent entity so are the Laws of Logic transcendental

entities. The Laws of Science and God's Laws of Morality reflect a similar stability and are apparent in nature's uniformity and in its obvious elements of intricate design.

The Ultimate Proof of Biblical Creation is that, without it, we could not truly know anything. What Dr. Bahnsen so masterfully made clear is that in discussions about the existence of God and the origin of the universe, there is the necessity of the initial presupposition of the need for the Laws of Logic in order for anyone to argue rationally; and since atheism cannot truly account for "ANY Laws," in order to debate rationally, atheists must assume the Christian worldview. Scientists (or anyone) cannot begin to reason about anything without the logic borrowed from the Christian worldview so that using logic turns arguments against God's existence and creation into arguments for God and His created order.

Dr. Bahnsen used the "No Answer, Answer" strategy (Prov. 26:4-5) and the Laws of Logic to win the debate. He gave an example of the Law of Non-Contradiction with "the car cannot be in the garage and not be in the garage at the same time." Just as the pickpocket says the "wallet is not mine, but the wallet is mine" and so the fool says in his heart "there is no God" (Ps. 14:1, 53:1).

Presuppositions always control everyone's interpretation of the meanings of facts and evidence but, as with breathing, we are not always conscious of it. So, Laws of Logic are presuppositionally assumed in debate (whether consciously or not) as a necessary precondition of intelligibility. A consistent Christian, untainted by radical liberalism, presupposes the Bible to be inerrant and infallible and that the LIVING God is not only the author of the Bible (2 Pet. 1:21) but the originator of the transcendent Laws of Logic and is the Designer of nature's uniformity and the provider of a stable societies' absolute, binding moral code. When God made mankind in His image, He gave sound minds, senses and reliable memories and the pathways to use them properly. To God alone be the glory.

Keep looking up.

Chief Source:

The Ultimate Proof of Creation and
Dr. Bahnsen's "Great Debate:

Obtained At:

Answers in Genesis

Website:

answersingenesis.org

Address:

P.O. Box 510
Hebron, KY 41048

Telephone:

800.778.3390

Author:

Dr. Jason Lisle



Hiding God's Word

By Nancy Long

Deut. 6:6-7 – *“And these words, which I command thee this day, shall be in thine heart: And thou shalt teach them diligently unto thy children, and shalt talk of them when thou sittest in thine house, and when thou walkest by the way, and when thou liest down, and when thou risest up.”*

Psalm 63:1a – *“O God, Thou art my God; early will I seek Thee*”

Psalm 119:11 -- *“Thy word have I hid in mine heart, that I might not sin against Thee.”*

Psalm 119:105 – *“Thy Word is a lamp unto my feet, and a light unto my path.”*

Proverbs 4:23 – *“Keep thy heart with all diligence; for out of it are the issues of life.”*

Matt. 12:b – *“..... for out of the abundance of the heart the mouth speaketh.”*

Eph. 6:17 – *“And take the helmet of salvation, and the sword of the Spirit, which is the word of God.”*

James 1:22 – *“But be ye doers of the Word, and not hearers only, deceiving your own selves.”*

1 Peter 3:15b – *“Be ready always to give an answer to every man that asketh you a reason of the hope that is in you with meekness and fear.”*

Words from Scripture Memory Fellowship: re. Talent vs. Commitment
By Dakota Lynch

“In 2008, the world watched in amazement as Michael Phelps made Olympic history. One of the most talented swimmers of all time, Phelps won 8 medals just like he'd done 4 years earlier. But this time, **they all were gold.**

Michael Phelps wasn't just talented; he was unbelievably committed to his sport. His weekly routine consisted of **6 hours of training**, 6 days a week – swimming a grand total of 50 miles during that time. He also followed a specialized 12,000 calorie diet, slept 8 hours each day, and took a 2-3 hour afternoon nap. All those gold medals weren't the inevitable result of natural talent, they were the fruit of unwavering discipline and relentless effort.

Like swimming, memorizing Scripture requires commitment. Those who succeed in it usually

don't possess an extraordinary memory, **but an extraordinary commitment** – a commitment to filling their fallible memories with the infallible words of God.

As Paul observed, *“Everyone who competes for the prize is temperate in all things.”* For Olympic swimmers, this looks like a rigorous diet and exercise routine. For us, it looks like purposefully making God's Word the object of our mind's attention and our heart's devotion. We do this not *“to obtain a perishable crown,”* but for the **spiritual and life-changing benefits** promised to those who delight in God's Word (1 Cor. 9:25; Ps. 1)."

I head up a ministry in our church, for those desiring to avail themselves of it, called Scripture Memorization. Some who sign up, invariably will say, “I think I can, I hope I can, I'm going to try, but my memory isn't the same as it once was, you know.” I have to say, that I **do** know. I totally understand this reluctance, this hesitation, this trepidation, this fear, even.

However, I believe God's Word exhorts us believers to do just that, to hide God's Word in each of our hearts, to love it, to meditate on it, to “eat” it, to consume it, to take it in as food, as spiritual food regardless of age, or anything we lack or at least anything we **“think”** we lack!!

I'm convinced too, that nothing pleases the Lord more than seeing His children having the desire to know their Father in heaven on a more intimate level. It just might be our **highest calling!!**

Webster defines the word, Commitment – “a pledge to do something the state of being bound emotionally, or intellectually, to an ordeal or course of action.” We humans commit ourselves to many challenges, whether it is sports, or academics, exercise, or what have you, and I'm certainly not minimizing the importance of any of this but in actuality, what can be more crucial **in** this life, and **for** this life and ultimately will yield eternal benefits, than delighting in the Word of God? ***“O God, Thou are my God; early will I seek Thee: my soul thirsteth for Thee, my flesh longeth for Thee in a dry and thirsty land, where no water is.”***

Psalms 119 is a beautiful psalm! Psalm 119 is, in fact, the very longest chapter of both the Old and the New Testaments, having 176 verses. Yes, you read that right 176 verses!! These verses hold words such as laws, statutes, precepts, decrees, testimonies, commandments, and judgments. This psalm is all about God's Word being sweeter than honey, being more precious, and more desirable, than gold or silver. Your Bible can either be the most valuable and significant book you'll ever own, or it just might be any old book lying around your home, collecting dust. It's your choice! Essentially, your Bible is a “light unto your path”! We need not walk in darkness!! Your Bible is a map for your whole life!!!

Hide God's Word in your hearts. Make it a daily priority to commit selected verses to memory. There's power in it!! Spiritual and life changing benefits are to be had!! Don't talk yourselves out of it!!

Heavenly Father, I pray for myself as I pray for my Defender friends, those of us who are in Your family, those of us who are Your elected children. Please help us have a desire to learn, to memorize your precious Word not just the words alone, so we can spit them out verbatim, but internalizing them in the heart, allowing them to sink in, to make a home in our thoughts, our feelings, our very beings.. In Jesus' name, I pray. Amen.

An Audience of One or Lifting Up Strange Fire?

By Michael Anthony

Over the years I have heard numerous criticisms against contemporary Christian music. The criticisms usually start with an attack against specific instruments with the drums taking the most negative hits (pun intended). One pastor in particular even called the drums “the devil’s instrument.” But are these criticisms warranted?

I began playing drums in 1968 and continued playing professionally until 1980. There’s no doubt that I was serving the wrong “master” during that time. The expression “Rock ‘N’ Roll” itself is a thinly veiled reference to what God ordained for married heterosexual couples in the privacy of their own bedroom. By some miracle, I escaped the usual snares that have destroyed many souls who have partaken of the fruit of the rock and roll spirit: alcohol, androgyny, drugs, groupies, and occultism to name a few.

I have also played drums in several churches that featured contemporary Christian worship music. There are legitimate concerns about this form of music in a worship setting. The concerns, however, should be about musical styles. That is, how the instruments are being used and not which instruments are being used. In the hands of a skilled musician any instrument can be used to serve the fallen one or exalt the risen One.

“Rock and roll is a form of popular music that emerged in the United States in the late Forties and early Fifties. But rock and roll’s roots can be tracked back centuries to drum beats in Africa and Celtic folk music in Europe. As people from these regions emigrated to America, they brought their music with them, and as they were exposed to each other’s music, they began to incorporate different styles.” (Reference - <https://www.rockhall.com/roots-and-definition-rock-and-roll>)

Rock n Roll, as well as other forms or styles of music, is studied and analyzed by musicologists. Berklee College of Music distinguishes musicology as falling into two broad groups: Ethnomusicologists and Cognitive Musicologists. “Ethnomusicologists, for example, study music in its cultural context, while cognitive musicologists examine how music manifests in the brain.” A fair analysis of contemporary Christian music must examine both the cultural and cognitive aspects of the music.

Studying the psycho physiological effects of music is a complex subject. Information from the external world which is received through our senses creates both physical, intellectual, and emotional responses. It is a challenge for researchers to identify the variables involved and control the intervening variables which affect a studies results. Generally speaking, psychology refers to this study under the heading of Sensation and Perception.

The most interesting research that I found when exploring this topic was “Musical Rhythms Affect Heart Rate Variability: Algorithm and Models” by Hui-Min Wang and Sheng-Chieh Huang (©2014 Published in Advances in Electrical Engineering Volume 2014, Article ID 851796, 14 pages <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/851796>). Their primary objective was to produce a model for studying the effects of music on human physiology and psychology.

Their research was the only research that I found that narrowed the musical stimuli down to using a drum machine. They measured tempo (beats per minute), complexity (rhythm pattern), and energy (decibel level). Typically, most research in this field uses very different musical styles to contrast the effects of the different musical styles on heart rate, blood pressure, and breathing rate, as well trying to interpret what feelings are experienced by the listener.

My training in using the scientific method in the fields of sociology and psychology warns me not to use this study’s conclusions to generalize any conclusions about contemporary Christian music. There’s no doubt, however, that tempo, rhythm, and volume affect a person’s physiology and psychology. Generally speaking, these elements are the foundations upon which all musical styles are built.

A repetitive tempo, simplistic rhythm, and simple chord structures can be found not only in rock and roll, but also in baroque, and classical Christian hymnal music. What separates rock and roll music from the other two musical styles is not only the use of drums and cymbals, but the exaggerated emphasis on the beat, the volume levels at which the music is played, and the lyrical content.

So what criteria should we use when evaluating the appropriateness of different musical styles for worship? Notice that I am specifically considering music for worship and not music used in a concert setting. Perhaps this is a distinction without a difference, but for the sake of argument let’s consider them as being different.

Consider the following thoughts:

1) Heart issues - It is true that the human heart is wicked and no one can know it. I believe, however, that the musicians who are used to lead people in worship should be born again. Are their hearts right with God? We ask everyone this question before sharing communion. So why not ask our musicians the same question? If the musicians are there to advertise their talents and not lead people into the throne room, then they are probably offering up “strange fire”.

2) Entertainment issues - Is the style of the music used to attract people to the church? If the worship music is indistinguishable from secular rock and roll, then which master is it serving? Is the music truly praising God or just a form of entertainment that

tickles the listener's ears with familiar sounds?

3) Lyrical content - Are the lyrics just a mindless repetition of simple phrases? Modern Christian lyrics seem to be more concerned with how Jesus makes us feel rather than how we can obey Him. Brooke Bingham in reviewing Hank Hanegraaff's book, "Counterfeit Revival," stated another problem creeping into Christian lyrics, "I've also been disturbed by the overly romantic, even sexual way they portray our relationship with Jesus."

4) Presentation issues - Is the music used to work everyone into a frenzy? The length of each song, the volume levels, and the overemphasis of the beat can be used to numb and mesmerize people. Repetition of the same musical phrases over long periods of time is maniacal. If the music creates a trance-like atmosphere, then it's probably not serving the right master. The goal of Christian worship music is to literally en-throne God on the music. The goal is not to create a Christian "mosh-pit"!



From Our Mail Bag

God's Pure Providentially Preserved Word

Psalm 12:6-7 *"The words of the Lord are pure words: as silver tried in a furnace of earth, purified seven times. Thou shalt keep them, O Lord, thou shalt PRESERVE (caps mine) them from this generation FOREVER" (caps mine).*

This is a precious promise! – To say His Word is only inerrant in “the Originals” (which no one has seen or has) is to charge God foolishly, and in essence, is to deny His Word and/or His Truth. Since a Sovereign God promised to preserve His Word, it only makes sense that He would preserve it down through the ages from the PURE stream (which in English is reflected in our AV 1611 KJV) not the corrupt stream which these modern versions (perversions) are based upon, either in whole, or part (like the New King James Version): namely, the corrupt Westcott/Hort Greek edition of the N.T.

There is ample evidence that these two men were founders of the homosexual-infested Hermes Club and/or Bogey club. They denied major doctrines, were worshippers of Mary and friends of Darwin and Freud, etc. – Does good fruit come from a corrupt tree? I hardly believe our Holy God in His Providence would allow His Word to be preserved in corruption. Further, regarding “perversions” – such a perversion as the NIV uses a translation method known as “DYNAMIC EQUIVALENCE” – this is a fancy term for “butchering” God’s Word by substituting men’s opinions of what they interpret as the general gist or thought of what said Scripture means. His Word states that He would preserve His Word, not what these textual critics (who pride themselves as so-called Greek scholars) think the main thought or gist of Scripture is. Apparently, they think they can decide what His Word is and what it is not.

My beloved husband used to remind me that John was on the Isle of Patmos “*for the Word of God, and for the testimony of Jesus Christ*” – that is where the battle was, the battle is, and will be until He returns for His Elect Bride – this increased attack on the biblical doctrine of Preservation here at the end of the age is Satan’s subtle attack from WITHIN. Many will parrot the line “oh yes, I believe God’s Word is inerrant, but only in ‘the Originals’” – the danger here is that well-meaning born-again Christians have been deceived by this subtle attack of Satan. The battle is raging right now in our fundamental circles on this very issue. Bible Presbyterians, if they want to remain True Presbyterians, need to get out their Westminster Confession which clearly states in Chapter 1, Section 8, “...and by His singular care and Providence, kept PURE IN ALL AGES (caps mine), are therefore AUTHENTICAL...” Our great giants of faith believed that Confession, for they believed that our God had providentially preserved His Word as He

clearly promised and NOT JUST IN “THE ORIGINALS.”

Now think about it, if we only have inerrancy in “the Originals,” common logic would dictate that we couldn’t be assured of what we have today; but praise God, (and I repeat) our Sovereign God keeps His Word, and thus indeed we have it, and in English, it is faithfully translated in our beloved AV 1611 KJV. I would urge all true believers to stick with God’s Pure Preserved Word as did our great forefathers in the faith – certainly, I’m no Greek scholar, and I don’t need to be a Greek scholar to take God at His Word and thus believe His promises. Stick with the ole book, the ole Hymns, yea, the ole paths as our Lord admonishes!

One last comment or thought. – The overwhelming majority of your liberal and/or Apostate churches as well as your compromising New Evangelicals go for these Modern Versions (Perversions), the Contemporary Music, Programs, not Preaching. It all goes together. Doesn’t this tell you something? This is typical Laodicean church age – also remember all these multiplicity of Perversions are “dry runs” for the World Bible which goes in with the one-world church along with the one world government – this is Satan’s program.

BEWARE – BE WISE!

Submitted by: Pat Knight

Cape Canaveral, Florida



Our mission through the broadcast of In Defense of Truth and the publication of The Defender newsletter is to earnestly contend for the faith which was once delivered unto the saints (Jude 3). Our vision today is just as strong as it was back in 1963 when Dr. John Dekker began this outreach. We pray that you will continue to stand with us as we hold true to God's holy Word.

The Defender is available, free of charge, on audio CD.
Please contact us if you would like to receive a copy by mail.

FIND US ON THE WEB AT
www.indefenseoftruth.org
& www.facebook.com/cubhillchurch

FREE APPS NOW AVAILABLE
for **Android Smartphone & iPhone** users.
Go to your App or Play Store, and type in
"**Cub Hill Church**" and/or "**Evangelism Radio**"
and download!

In Defense of Truth can be heard online
at www.evangelismradio.com:
Sunday-Friday from 6:30-7:00 PM
and now on WCBM AM 680 in Baltimore every Sunday from 6:30-7:00 AM

Listen also to IDOT's "Moment of Truth"
airing Monday through Friday
on WCBM AM 680 in Baltimore.

DEFENDER CONTRIBUTORS

Rev. David R. Lewis, MDiv., Director, IDOT
Robert Luthardt, Sr., Elder, CHBPC
Nancy Long, Member, CHBPC
Michael Anthony, Defender
Pat Knight, Defender

WRITE TO US!

Rev. David Lewis &
In Defense of Truth
2927 Cub Hill Road
Baltimore, Maryland 21234

Upcoming Events!

If you are in or around the Baltimore area, we'd love to have you join us for these upcoming events!

Adult & Children's Sunday School	10:15 AM
Sunday Morning Worship & Children's Church	11:00 AM
Tuesday Afternoon Bible Study	1:30 PM
Wednesday Evening Bible Study	7:00 PM
Friday Night Youth Group	7:00 PM